## Supporting Information

## **Oxygen-incorporated carbon nitride porous nanosheets for highly**

## efficient photoelectrocatalytic CO2 reduction to formate

WANG Hong-zhi, ZHAO Yue-zhu, YANG Zhong-xue, BI Xin-ze, WANG Zhao-liang\*, WU Ming-bo\*

College of New Energy, State Key Laboratory of Heavy Oil Processing, China University of Petroleum (East China), Qingdao 266580, China

Corresponding author:

WANG Zhao-liang, Ph.D. Professor. E-mail: wzhaoliang@upc.edu.cn; WU Ming-bo, Ph.D. Professor. E-mail: wumb@upc.edu.cn.

Author introduction:

WANG Hong-zhi, Ph.D. Lecturer. E-mail: wanghz@upc.edu.cn



Figure S1. Mott-schottky curves of the CN samples, frequency 1000 Hz, 100 Hz, 10 Hz correspond to black, red, and blue curves, respectively.





Figure S3. CV curves of the CN1 (a), CN2 (b), CN3 (c), and CN4 (d) under dark (black line) and AM 1.5G illumination (red line).



Figure S4. EIS curves of the CN1 (a), CN2 (b), CN3 (c), and CN4 (d) under dark (black line) and AM 1.5G illumination (red line).





Figure S6. VB of XPS survey spectrum, the VB maximum values are according the photoelectric effect equation.





Figure S8. High-resolution N 1s XPS spectrum of CN samples.

Catalysts	Electrolyte/Solvent	Activity	J(mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Light source	Ref.
Ru-BNAH/TiO <sub>2</sub> /Cu <sub>2</sub> O	KCl (0.1 M)	51.2 μmol h <sup>-1</sup> (8	-0.07 at -0.8	AM 1.5G (100	3
		mg)	VSCE	mW cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
Ru(H <sub>4</sub> P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -bpy)	acetonitrile and TEOA	3.8 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> (8 mg)		$\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$ (30	4
$(CO)_2Cl_2/g-C_3N_4$	(4:1, v/v)			mW cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> /[Ru(bpy) <sub>2</sub> (CO	DMA and TEOA (4:1,	8.5 μmol h <sup>-1</sup> (4 mg)		$\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$	5
)2Cl2]	v/v;)				
RuRu binuclear	DMA and TEOA (4:1,	8.8 μmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>		$\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$	6
catalyst/Au/g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	v/v;)				
p-n+ Si	KHCO <sub>3</sub> (0.1 M)		-7.07 at	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup>	7
/GaN NWs			-1.0 V <sub>RHE</sub>		
p <sup>+</sup> -p-n <sup>+</sup> Si	NaHCO <sub>3</sub> (0.05 M)		-1.18 at	AM 1.5G (100	8
/GaN			-0.25 V <sub>RHE</sub>	mW cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
NWs/NiO					
2,2'-bipyridine Cu	KHCO <sub>3</sub> (0.5 M)	110±10 $\mu$ mol h <sup>-1</sup> at	15 at -1.2	50 W LED light	9
PCN films on TiO <sub>2</sub>		-1.2 Vrhe	Vrhe		
NRs					
Cu <sub>2</sub> O-Cu (GLD)	KHCO <sub>3</sub> (0.5 M)		0.2 at -0.9	AM 1.5G	10
CuO/NtTiO <sub>2</sub>			V <sub>RHE</sub>		
p-type SiNWs	KHCO <sub>3</sub> (0.1 M)	58 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> at	-3.6 at -1.2	AM 1.5G (100	11
		-1.2 V <sub>RHE</sub>	V <sub>RHE</sub>	mW cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
Ti <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub> /g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	KHCO3 (0.1 M)	50.2 μmol h <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	0.85	200 mW cm <sup>-2</sup>	12
		at -0.85 $V_{RHE}$			
7%-(Cu, N)-SnO <sub>x</sub>	NaHCO <sub>3</sub> (0.5 M)		0.002	$\lambda > 420 \text{ nm}$	13
CoTPP/ g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	KCl (0.1 M)	154.4 μmol h <sup>-1</sup>	0.026	AM 1.5G (100	14
Pt				mW cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
Cu–Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> NTs	Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (0.1 M)	84.4 μmol h <sup>-1</sup>	0.122 at	$\lambda$ > 420 nm (10	15
Pt			-0.9 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	mW cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
TiO <sub>2</sub> / 2D Ti <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	KHCO <sub>3</sub> (0.5 M)	73.6 µM cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	1 at - 0.7 V	300 UV (200 mW	16
BiVO4			V <sub>SCE</sub>	cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
Bi <sub>2</sub> CuO <sub>3</sub>	KHCO <sub>3</sub> (0.5 M)	273.56 μM cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	0.587 at -0.9	AM 1.5G (100	This
g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>			V <sub>RHE</sub>	mW cm <sup>-2</sup> )	wor
					k

Table S1. Comparison of the activities of CN samples with the reported photoelectrocatalysts for  $CO_2$  reduction.

Catalysts	С	Ν	0
CN1	47.04	49.60	3.36
CN2	45.74	43.93	10.33
CN3	47.41	48.74	3.85
CN4	47.82	48.10	4.08

Table S2. The element contents of C, N and O in the four catalysts.

## Refences

- Z. Yang, H. Wang, X. Fei, W. Wang, Y. Zhao, X. Wang, X. Tan, Q. Zhao, H. Wang, J. Zhu, L. Zhou, H. Ning and M. Wu, Appl. Catal. B, 2021, 298, 120571.
- L. Peng, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, N. Xu, W. Lou, P. Liu, D. Cai, H. Huang and J. Qiao, Appl. Catal. B, 2021, 288, 120003.
- 3. J. B. Liu, C. Y. Guo, X. J. Hu, and G. H. Zhao, Green Chem., 2019, 21, 339.
- 4. K. Maeda, K. Sekizawa and O. Ishitani, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 10127.
- R. Kuriki, H. Matsunaga, T. Nakashima, K. Wada, A. Yamakata, O. Ishitani, and K. Maeda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 5159–5170.
- 6. R. Kuriki, K. Sekizawa, O. Ishitani and K. Maeda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 2406-2409.
- W. J. Dong, I. A. Navid, Y. X. Xiao, J. W. Lim, J. L. Lee and Z. T. Mi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 10099–10107.
- 8. B. Shan, S. Vanka, T. T. Li, L. T. Gautier, M.K. Brennaman, Z. Mi, and T. J.Meyer, *Nature Energy*, 2019, 4, 290-299.
- 9. Y. W. Ma, Y. X. Fang, X. Z. Fu and X. C. Wang, Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2020, 4, 5812-5817.
- J. F. Brito, C. Genovese, F. Tavella, C. Ampelli, M. Valnice, B. Zanoni, G. Centi, S. Perathoner, Chem Sus Chem, 2019, 12, 4274-4284.
- 11. W. C. Ma, M. C. Xie, S. J. Xie, L. F. Wei, Y. C. Cai, Q. H. Zhang, Y. Wang, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2021, **54**, 422–428.
- 12. Y. J. Xu, S. Wang, J. Yang, B. Han, R. Nie, J. X. Wang, Y. P. Dong, X. G. Yu, J. G. Wang and H. W. Jing, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 15213.
- 13. H. M. Yang, Y. P. Li, D. D. Zhang, Z. F. Li, J. W. Wang, D. H. Yang, X. G. Hao, G. Q. Guan, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2020, **227**, 115947.
- 14. J. B. Liu, H. J. Shi, Q. Shen, C. Y. Guo and G. H. Zhao, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 5900.
- 15. Q. Shen, Z. F. Chen, X. F. Huang, M. C. Liu and G. H. Zhao, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, 49, 5828–5835.
- Y. J. Xua, S. Wang, J. Yang, B. Hana, R. Nie, J. X. Wang, J. G. Wang, H. Q. Jing, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **51**, 442–450.